Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/723,014	GATZKE, KENNETH G.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gentle E Winter	1746
All Participants:	Status of Application:	Pending FAOM
(1) <u>Gentle E Winter</u> .	(3) <u>Douglas B. Little</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 August 2004	Time: ~8:30AM	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None specifically		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT W	'AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the applicate of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief separate content is not necessary. 	ion. The examiner will provide a wroperate record of the substance of the	itten summary of the substance he interview. since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/723,014

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Winter telephoned Applicant representative Douglas B. Little, and indicated that it appeared that the application was in position for allowance, except non-elected claims 12-15 would need to be cancelled. Mr. Little indicated that the may be cancelled by examiner's amendment, with the explicit understanding that the cancellation was made without prejudicing Applicant's right to pursue the non-elected claims..